

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/033,150	NELLES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David M. Naff	1651	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) David M. Naff.

(2) Samuel H. Megerditchian.

**Date of Interview:** 29 April 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*See examiner's amendment.*

Claims discussed:

*See examiner's amendment.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See examiner's amendment. Applicants' representative, Mr. Megerditchian, authorized suggested amendments that put claims in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)